Stein, Mark

From: Jordan, Ronald

Sent: Thursday, February 06, 2014 9:43 AM

To: DeMeo, Sharon M.

Subject: RE: Merrimack Station - quick question

You are correct. It is an annual value, so more precisely it is kW-hr/year

From: DeMeo, Sharon M.

Sent: Wednesday, February 05, 2014 11:50 AM

To: Jordan, Ronald

Subject: Merrimack Station - quick question

Hi Ron,

Thanks again for chatting with Mark and I last week. It was very helpful. I had mentioned an email that you sent me (see below), in 2011 where you had indicated that "[t]he chemical precipitation technology option is estimated to generate 1,976 tons of solids per year, and require 339,017 kW-hr of electricity." I just want to confirm with you that the kW-hr value is an annual figure.

Thanks,

Sharon

Sharon DeMeo US EPA – Region 1 Phone: 617-918-1995 Fax: 617-918-0995 Non-water quality environmental impacts for FGD wastewater treatment optio Ronald Jordan

to:

Sharon DeMeo 09/16/2011 09:57 AM

Cc:

John King, Mark Stein, Jezebele Alicea, Jan Matuszko

Hide Details

From: Ronald Jordan/DC/USEPA/US

To: Sharon DeMeo/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark Stein/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Jeze Alicea/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jan Matuszko/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Sharon,

On Sept 13, I sent you an email summarizing estimated costs and pollutan technology options for treating the FGD wastewater at Merrimack Station.

In response to your question about non-water quality environmental impact the solid waste generation (i.e., treatment solids that require transport/dis electricity demand associated with operation of the treatment technologies

The chemical precipitation technology option is estimated to generate 1,97 and require 339,017 kW-hr of electricity. Please keep in mind that these vac characteristics of the FGD purge entering the treatment system, and thus i estimate includes solids that would have been removed if Merrimack Static bond or other system to meet the BPT effluent limits in 40 CFR part 423 (i.